STUDY PROTOCOL

Open Access

A mental health intervention program for the oocyte donors: protocol for a mixed methods study



Elham Adib Moghaddam¹, Ashraf Kazemi^{2,3*}, Gholamreza Kheirabadi⁴ and Seyyed Mehdi Ahmadi⁵

Abstract

Background: Oocyte donation is one of the assisted reproductive techniques that can undermine the mental health of the women donor. As such, the aim of this study is to design a mental health promotion program for oocyte donors.

Methods: This is an exploratory mixed methods study (qualitative-quantitative) that consists of three phases. In the first phase, a qualitative study will be conducted to identify the needs and strategies of the mental health promotion program for the women donors. In this phase, the participants will be selected using purposeful sampling method and the data will be collected through semi-structured interviews. In the second phase, the initial draft of the program is designed and validated in the panel of experts and using the classic Delphi technique and, then, the program is finalized. In the third phase, the designed program will be implemented as a quasi-experimental study in two groups of program recipients (intervention) and control, and the effectiveness of the intervention program will be evaluated.

Discussion: In order to design a documentation-based mental health promotion program for the oocyte donors, their experience during the process of oocyte donation should be evaluated. Doing so, the program will be developed based on the specific circumstances of the target population and their social and cultural context and, hence, will have the highest impact.

Keywords: Mental health, Oocyte donation, Assisted reproductive technique

Plain English summary

Oocyte donation is both psychologically and morally so challenging for the donors. Given the mental complications caused by oocyte donation and considering ethical principles in assisted reproductive treatments, an intervention program seems necessary to maintain, provide and promote the mental health of the donors. The present research will provide some comprehensive information regarding the needs and strategies for improving the psychological health in the oocyte donors. Using an exploratory mixed method, this study will be conducted in three phases. In the first phase, a qualitative study will

be conducted to extract the needs and strategies of the mental health program for the oocyte donors. The participants in this phase will be selected using purposive sampling method and the data will be collected using semi-structured interviews and taking notes in the field. The data will be analyzed using the conventional content analysis method. In the second phase, using the information obtained from the previous phase and review of the literature, the initial draft of the mental health program for the oocyte donors will be prepared. Then, using classic Delphi technique, the program will be validated and finalized in the panel of experts based on the priorities. In the third phase, the designed program will be implemented using a quantitative approach, and the effectiveness of the program will be evaluated comparing the mental health status of the two groups of donors before and after the implementation of the program. As such, this program designed based on a qualitative study and

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*} Correspondence: Kazemi@nm.mui.ac.ir

²Nursing and Midwifery Care Research Center, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran ³Reproductive Health department, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Hezarjerib AV, Isfahan, Iran

review of the literature and the newest information, can improve the mental health of the oocyte donors.

Background

The use of donated oocyte in assisted reproductive technology (ART) is an accepted method in the treatment of the couples who cannot be pregnant through autologous oocyte [1]. The use of this technique is increasingly growing so that 10% of all ART cycles in 2017 in the United States have been performed using oocyte donation [2].

In this method, the whole processes of the ovarian stimulation, ovarian response monitoring and the oocyte retrieval are performed on the oocyte donors and, after in vitro fertilization or intracytoplasmic sperm injection procedure, the resulted embryo or embryos are transferred to the uterus of the recipient woman [3]. In this process, the recipient of the donated oocyte benefits from having a child, but the donor, after suffering from possible problems and complications of the ovarian stimulation and oocyte retrieval, is only provided with humanitarian feelings and financial compensation [4, 5].

This experience had not been satisfying for many donors [6]. In addition to tolerating the perils of the ART process, the donors are also supposed to bear the consequent social stigma for entering this procedure and face socio-cultural taboos in traditional societies [6–8]. Because, reproduction in many cultures is a private issue defined in the form of marital relations, and the participation of a third-party is not accepted in it [9].

Materialistic use of the body for gaining financial benefits is another related issue [10]. Another social challenge is the likelihood of contact between the donor and the resulted child in the future [11]. Taken together, these issues can turn a process which can be associated with a good sense of altruistic help into an unpleasant experience endangering the mental health of the oocyte donor.

Previous studies also show a decline in the mental health of the donors during this process [8, 12, 13]. As such, these women need to be considered as one of the target groups of the mental health promotion programs in the health systems of different countries. However, in many ART service centers, attentions are limited to screening for the psychological disorders of the oocyte donors before entering the donation process [14].

Among the Muslim countries, Iran is the only country in which using a third-party and oocyte donation is allowed in the process of reproduction [15], and many volunteers of using donated oocyte in other neighboring countries such as Iraq and Afghanistan refer to ART service centers in Iran to receive treatment. Nonetheless, there is no appropriate program to maintain, supply and promote the psychological condition of the oocyte

donors. Therefore, the design of a program for maintaining the psychological health of the oocyte donors seems to be necessary. Accordingly, an exploratory mixed method study will be designed and performed in order to achieve an intervention program appropriate for the socio-cultural conditions of the country.

Methods

This study approved by the Ethics Committee of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences in Isfahan, Iran (IR.MUI.RESEARCH.REC.1398.492), and will be conducted in the centers for providing ART services in Isfahan. Informed consent will be obtained from the participants at all phases of the research. The design of the program will be based on the Talbot and Verrinder model and will be implemented in three phases.

The first step of this programing pattern includes the analysis of the needs and determination of a specific subject for programing. The draft of the program will be designed in the second step; then, the finalization of the program, its implementation, monitoring of the implementation and evaluation of the effectiveness of the program will be performed in the third to sixth steps respectively [16]. In the first phase of the study that is in line with the first step of the programing pattern, using content analysis method, a qualitative study will be conducted to identify the needs and strategies of the program.

In the second phase, in line with the second and third steps of the pattern, the initial draft of the mental health program for the oocyte donors is designed and validated using the panel of experts and the classic Delphi technique. In the third phase, in line with fourth to sixth steps of the Talbot and Verrinder programing pattern, the program will be implemented and whose effectiveness will be evaluated.

Phase I: qualitative study (evaluation of the needs and strategies of the program)

Using in-depth interviews, the qualitative study will be conducted to explain the needs and strategies of the program. The setting of this phase of the research is the centers for providing ART services in Isfahan. All of the interviews will be conducted in a private place selected based on the preference of the participants. The providers of ART services will also interviewed in their workplaces. After analyzing the qualitative data by the content analysis method, the proposed needs and strategies of the program will be extracted.

Participants

The participants of the qualitative phase of this research consist of the oocyte donors, the providers of ART services (midwives, gynecologist, psychiatrist, psychologist, and lawyer) and the family members of the oocyte donors who are willing to take part in the study. The participants will be selected using purposeful sampling method and considering the diversity of the age, education, number of their oocyte donation, and the motivation for entering the process of donation. After evaluating their inclusion criteria and obtaining their informed consent, the participants will be interviewed. The interviews will be continued until the repetition of the data and the feeling of reaching data saturation.

Qualitative data collection method

In the qualitative phase of the research, the data will be collected using in-depth interviews, taking notes in the field and reading the notebooks of the women donors. To observe ethical considerations, the participants are explained about the aims of the study and their informed consent will be obtained for recoding their voice. The setting and the length of the interviews will be specified based on the preferences of the participants. Data analysis will be performed using the conventional content analysis [17]. To assure the trustworthiness of the findings of the research, the four criteria of credibility, dependability, transferability and confirmability will be considered [18].

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

In the qualitative study, Iranian oocyte donors that a maximum of 6 months have passed from their entrance to the process of donation will be included in the study. Other inclusion criteria include no history of hospitalization or the use of medicine because of mental disorders, absence of stress, anxiety and severe depression in them, no history of ovarian hyper-stimulation syndrome in previous donations, and no legal problem related to donation. The inclusion criteria for the providers of donation services will be at least 2 years of work experience.

Phase II: design and validation of the program

In this phase of the study, the priorities of the program will be developed based on the results of the first phase and the extracted strategies. Using review of the literature, the objectives and the operational program for achieving each objective are developed, and the validity of the program will be evaluated through using Delphi method in a study.

Holding a panel of experts

In this stage, in order to design the final version of the program and obtain the experts' consensus of opinion, the initial draft of the program is designed and, then the classic Delphi technique is used for validating the program and determining its priorities. The members of the panel will consist of reproductive health specialists, planning experts, gynecologists, psychiatrists, sociologists, psychiatric nurses, psychologists, lawyers, and midwives. The electronic version of the program (and the hard copy if needed), together with open questions, will be sent to the members of the panel. These questions are to obtain the written opinion of the panel experts about the components of the program. After collecting the written opinion of the experts, content analysis will be performed and applying their opinions, the corrected version together with the assessment checklist is given to the experts. Then, collecting their opinions, the corrected version will be given to the experts. It is predicted that the program will be finalized during four rounds.

Phase III: the implementation of the intervention program (quantitative study)

In this phase, the quantitative study as a multi-stage, two-group and field trial will be conducted on 72 oocyte donors.

Study sample

The setting of the research is the centers for providing ART services in Isfahan. Infertility and Shahid Beheshti fertility centers in Isfahan will consider as a research environment. The target population of the study consists of the oocyte donors at the time of the oocyte donation during the process of assisted reproductive treatment. Convenience sampling method will be used to select the subjects of the study.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria at this phase of the study will include the absence of a known and under treatment physical illness, no oocyte donation during the past year, and no exposure to significant stressors during the past year based on Holmes and Rahe checklist (< 200 score); and if the ovulation induction is stopped because of the request of the recipient, the sample will be excluded from the study.

Data collection method

Before the implementation of the intervention group, the sampling process will be performed for the control group; then, the program is implemented and the sampling process will be done for the intervention group. The variables considered in this study will include depression, anxiety and stress levels in both groups during the three stages before the start of the oocyte donation, on the eighth day of the menstrual cycle (the time of the first follicle growth monitoring after ovulation induction) and after oocyte pick-up. To this end, the self-report DASS-21 questionnaire will be used. The DASS-21 questionnaire is a 21-item

self-report tool in which each of the depression, anxiety and stress levels are assessed using seven items [19]. Each of the questions has a Likert scale of 0 to 3 with a range of 0 to 21 for each domain. The options are "never" (0), "little" (1), "sometimes" (2) and "always" (3). If the score obtained from the questions of the depression subscale ranges from 0 to 4, the subject will be in the normal range, 5-6 score means mild depression, 7-10 score suggests moderate depression, 11-13 severe depression, and 14 and over very severe depression. If the score of the anxiety subscale is between 0 and 3, the subject is in the normal range, 4-5 score suggests mild anxiety, 6-7 moderate anxiety, 8-9 means severe anxiety, while 10 and over shows very severe anxiety. Finally, if the score obtained from the questions of the stress subscale ranges between 0 and 7, the subject has a normal state, 8-9 shows a mild stress, 10-12 suggests moderate stress, 13-16 severe stress, and a score of 17 and over signifies very severe level of stress. The validity and reliability of DASS-21 have been confirmed for using in Iranian women [20].

Data analysis

The collected data will be analyzed using SPSS19 as well as descriptive and inferential statistical methods. Kolmogorov–Smirnov test will be used to evaluate the normality of the data. To compare quantitative baseline characteristics in the two groups of the control and intervention, independent t-test will be used, and for the comparison of the qualitative baseline characteristics, Mann-Whitney test will be used. Moreover, to compare nominal qualitative variables, chi-square will be used. Finally, repeated measures multivariate analysis of variance will be used to compare the mean of the mental health scores between the intervention and control group at the three measurement times.

Discussion

The process of oocyte donation confronts the donors with social and ethical challenges that endanger their mental health. However, supportive programs can prevent the psychological injuries caused by such confrontations [21, 22]. Oocyte donation is a unique experience that the culture governing the provision of this service can create specific conditions. Therefore, mental health promotion programs for the target group and its needs assessment should be based on the experiences of the women involved in this process [21–23]. In designing this study, through analyzing the experiences of these women and the providers of donation services as well, there will be an attempt to identify the sufferings and feelings of the donors as well as the issues that may damage their mental health during the oocyte donation,

and design the program based on them. Research findings also show that the programs which are based on need assessment are more successful [23, 24]. Attempts for the implementation of the interventions to improve the knowledge and awareness of the donors may also be associated with the reduction of psychological symptoms [25], but there is insufficient evidence in this regard.

However, the present program will be designed based on the socio-cultural and religious context of Iran and will provide robust information about the needs and strategies of the mental health promotion program for the women donors. As such, this program seems to be suitable for countries with similar characteristics such as the South Asian countries. Nonetheless, many of the obtained strategies may be applicable to other societies including Westerns countries. Thus, efforts will be made to develop strategies in such a way to be used in infertility centers, especially in countries with a socio-cultural structure like that of Iran. We hope that the designed program will have the capacity for being integrated into the instructions of ART service centers to take a step forward towards improving the mental health of the oocyte donors.

Abbreviations

ART: Assisted Reproductive Techniques; DASS-21: Depression Anxiety Stress Scale-21

Acknowledaments

This paper was extracted from a reproductive health PhD thesis. The authors would like to thank Isfahan University of Medical Sciences for supporting this research.

Authors' contributions

EAM, AK, GHKH and SMA were involved in study conception, design and drafting of the manuscript. EAM wrote the first draft of this study protocol. AK, GHKH and SMA reviewed the first draft of the protocol and manuscript. AK were responsible for coordinating the study. EAM will be responsible for interview with participants, description and data analysis. AK will review and will involve in data analysis and qualitative phase. EAM will be responsible for delivering and intervention. GHKH Will provide the quantitative design. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research protocol was funded by the Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran (Grant No 398612.).

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval for this study has been obtained by the ethics committee affiliated with Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran (IR.MUI.RESEARCH.REC.1398.492). Registration of this randomized control trial has been completed with the Thai Clinical Trials Registry (TCTR), TCTR20191209001.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Student Research Committee, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. ²Nursing and Midwifery Care Research Center, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. ³Reproductive Health department, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Hezarjerib AV, Isfahan, Iran. ⁴Behavioral Sciences Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. ⁵Isfahan Fertility and Infertility Center, Isfahan, Iran.

Received: 15 December 2019 Accepted: 14 January 2020 Published online: 20 January 2020

References

- Myers ER. Outcomes of donor oocyte cycles in assisted reproduction. JAMA. 2013;310(22):2403–4.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. American Society for Reproductive Medicine, Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology. Technology Fertility Clinic Success Rates Report; 2017.
- Nazari TS. Oocyte donation reproduction and the legitimacy of the Islamic jurisprudence. J Med Jurisprudence. 2014;6(20):121–39.
- Bracewell-Milnes T, Saso S, Abdalla H, Thum MY. A systematic review investigating psychosocial aspects of egg sharing in the United Kingdom and their potential effects on egg donation numbers. Hum Fertil (Camb). 2018;21(3):163–73.
- Medicine PCotASfR, Technology PCotSfAR. Repetitive oocyte donation: a committee opinion. Fertil Steril. 2014;102(4):964–6.
- Latifnejad Roudsari R, Jafari H, Taghipour A, Khadem N, Ebrahimzdeh S. The Association of Religious Beliefs in infertile couples' attitude towards donation procedures and its selection as a therapeutic approach to infertility. JJOGI. 2013;16(44):1–10.
- Bracewell-Milnes T, Saso S, Bora S, Ismail AM, Al-Memar M, Hamed AH, et al. Investigating psychosocial attitudes, motivations and experiences of oocyte donors, recipients and egg sharers: a systematic review. Hum Reprod Update. 2016;22(4):450-65.
- Kazemi A, Delavar MZ, Kheirabadi G. Psychiatric symptoms associated with oocyte-donation. Psychiatr Q. 2016;87(4):749–54.
- Ghorbani F, Rezaee E. Jurisprudential approach to ctiterion of reproductive legitimacy of the wife by foreign gametes. J Med Ethics. 2017;8(27):153–82.
- Oudi M, Omani Samani R, Alizadeh L. Medical and ethical issues regarding egg sharing and egg donation. Med Ethics Hist Med. 2012;5(3):23–32.
- 11. Partrick M, Smith AL, Meyer WR, Bashford RA. Anonymous oocyte donation: a follow-up questionnaire. Fertil Steril. 2001;75(5):1034–6.
- Klock SC, Stout JE, Davidson M. Psychological characteristics and factors related to willingness to donate again among anonymous oocyte donors. Fertil Steril. 2003;79(6):1312–6.
- Kenney NJ, McGowan ML. Looking back: egg donors' retrospective evaluations of their motivations, expectations, and experiences during their first donation cycle. Fertil Steril. 2010;93(2):455–66.
- Sims JA, Thomas KM, Hopwood CJ, Chen SH, Pascale C. Psychometric properties and norms for the personality assessment inventory in egg donors and gestational carriers. J Pers Assess. 2013;95(5):495–9.
- Vesali SV, Karimi E, Mohammadi M, Omani-Samani R. Attitude of law and medical students to oocyte donation. Int J Fertil Steril. 2018;12(2):114–8.
- Talbot L, Verrinder G. Promoting health: the primary health care approach: Elsevier health sciences; 2010.
- Munhall P. Nursing research. Londan, V6 7PA: Jones & Bartlett Learning; 2012.
- 18. Speziale HS, Streubert HJ, Carpenter DR. Qualitative research in nursing: advancing the humanistic imperative. Londan: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins;
- 19. Oei TP, Sawang S, Goh YW, Mukhtar F. Using the depression anxiety stress scale 21 (DASS-21) across cultures. Int J Psychol. 2013;48(6):1018–29.
- 20. Sahebi A, Asghari M, Salari R. Validation of depression anxiety and stress scale (DASS-21) for an Iranian population. Dev Psychol. 2005;1(4):36–54.
- Klock SC, Stout JE, Davidson M. Analysis of Minnesota multiphasic personality Inventory-2 profiles of prospective anonymous oocyte donors in relation to the outcome of the donor selection process. Fertil Steril. 1999; 72(6):1066–72.
- Lessor R, Cervantes N, O'Connor N, Balmaceda J, Asch RH. An analysis of social and psychological characteristics of women volunteering to become oocyte donors. Fertil Steril. 1993;59(1):65–71.

- ZareMobini F, Kazemi A, Farajzadegan Z. A comprehensive mental health care program for women with polycystic ovary syndrome: protocol for a mixed methods study. Reprod Health. 2018;15(1):46.
- 24. Kianfard L, Parhizgar S, Musavizadeh A, Shams M. Training needs assessment to design empowerment programs for preventing domestic violence against Iranian married women. Tolooebehdasht. 2017;16(1):108–20.
- Garcia D, Vassena R, Prat A, Vernaeve V. Increasing fertility knowledge and awareness by tailored education: a randomized controlled trial. Reprod BioMed Online. 2016;32(1):113–20.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

